

PHE

✓

App F IX 4

1953-54
(C.M.)

File No 1-53-

Serial Nos 82, 83,

Previous References

CM 2-52-3, 4
CM 78-52-1, 2

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

2.

Part B for

January 1954

SUBJECT

Remuneration to the Appeal
Officers

WD 01420-GPB-20 000-1 9 1952

L-12820-22 / Dated 8/54

To,

The Comm^r Bangalore corporation
" Controller, S. A. B.,
" Auditor, Bangalore corporation
Sanctions

7/10/54

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

()

NOTES

File No. _

- FILE-HEADING

Remuneration to the appeal officers

FROM

The Commissioner, Corporation of Bangalore

No B-517/52-53 Dated - 4 11 - 1953 Received - 11 - 11 - 53

Diary No

4975
one

Enclos

Spare copies

Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

States that the Corporation has forwarded its previous Resolution dated 28 7. '52 when the refusal of Govt. to accept payment of enhanced remuneration of Rs 500/- was pointed before it and requested reconsideration.

NOTES AND ORDERS

Hagg

V' & W

Collection C.M. 2-52 (Sl. 3 & 4) sanction the payment of a Commission Remuneration of Rs 250/- to each of the Appeal Officers in connection with the last revision of assessment and Collection no C.M. 78-52 (Sl. 1 & 2) rejecting the further Recommendation of the Corporation to enhance their Remuneration from Rs 250/- to Rs 500/- each are past up.

2) The Commr now reports that the

NOTES—First Sheet

WD- 03502—GPB—10 000—10-7-53

Remuneration to the appeal officers

File No 2 4975 of 1953 & 4
al

Mysore Government
Secretariat

Govt's Letter of Refusal to pay enhanced remuneration to the Appeal officers was placed before the Corporation where they have reiterated their resolution dated 28.7.1952 on lines of the very heavy work devolved on these officers and the legal position as regards the Corporation's powers to sanction allowances to its staff. He requests for a reconsideration of the orders and for sanctioning the Remuneration of the Corporation to pay them the enhanced remuneration at Rs 300/- each for this work.

3) The resolution of the Corp^y refers to above reiterating its previous recommendation, is not sent by the Council. A draft letter to the Union calling for the same is therefore put up for approval or other orders.

18/11/53

8/11/53

4) Issue

18/11/53

to the Council, C & B

no L. 10720 of 24.11.53

calls for a copy of the Resolⁿ

Remuneration to appeal officers

Mysore Government
Secretariat

4975-5434 3 [App F III 2
File No ml of 1953 54
WD 03547-CPB-40 070-14 8 53

Serial
No

Process

The Council C.C.B

No B 12-517/52 53 of 22 11 53

Forwards a copy of Resolution
dated 25 3-1953 of the Corpⁿ

5) The Corporation at its meeting
held on 25-3-1953 has Reiterated
its previous Recommendation of paying
increased Remuneration of Rs 500/-
for the extra work of disposing
of the appeals against the last
General Pension

6) It has been held that under
the existing provision of law ~~that~~
the Corporation has absolute power
of sanctioning allowances and
advances according to its ~~its~~ the
members of the SCB. That ~~is~~
also an allowance paid to the officers
for the additional work of hearing
appeals. Under the Act as amended
there is no way to reject the
Recommendation of the Corporation
The B.C. to amend the Act is State
endangering Security to the Legislation
Branch

Remuneration to appeal officers.

File No

12975 V5234 of 195

Mysore Government
Secretariat

Serial
No

7) All the proposals of the Corp^s for Reverts Regarding benefits to the BGA have been sanctioned and this is the one proposal now remaining over. This is a fixed payment and is not a recurring charge. The extra cost involved in its payment to the 4 officers is Rs 1000/- as they have already been ^{drawn} paid at Rs 250/- each on the original sanction of 18-12-52. If this is not sanctioned it would amount to the Corporation repeatedly coming in the same matter for sanction to Govt.

8) The proposal is for orders

leg
12/1/53

8/2
12/1/53

9) The Corporation has repeatedly requested the Govt. for sanction of Rs 1000/- to the 4 officers and in view of the fact that the Corporation has already been sanctioned Rs 250/- each, there was

received legal opinion from the Law Secretary that the Corp can sanction allowances to its officials, the proposal to give Rs 1000/- to 4 officers may be approved in the matter. Closed

See
leg

8/2


10) The proposal is wrong in principle and I cannot agree to the

Recommendation to the Appeal Officers

5434
me

5

Proposal of the Corporation The previous decision of Govt does not require any modification



Ministry)

14/12

14/12

"I am inclined to agree with the Asst Secretary As the Law stands at present, there is no point in negating the proposal the enhanced allowance recommended to Sri Annadanappa is also sanctioned

19/12/53

22/12
23/12

12) Off Co is prep for approval,

24/12/53.

26/12

29/12

Go no L 19820-22/12/53-83
dated 4.1.54.

To

- 1) The Collector, CCB
- 2) " Collector, SDO
- 3) " Auditor, CCB

B- 7/1/54
9/1/54

17/11/52
CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE.

No 612 517/5253



Dated 14th November 1953.

FROM

THE COMMISSIONER,

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE,

BANGALORE

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local Self-Government Department,
B A N G A L O R E

SIR,

SUBJECT - REMUNERATION TO THE APPEAL OFFICERS

With reference to the above, I write to state that four Appeal Officers were appointed for the disposal of Revenue Appeals received in connection with the General Revision of Assessment held in the year 1952-53. Originally when the recommendation was made, only six thousand appeal applications were received and on this basis it was arranged to these four appeal Officers may dispose of the appeals within two months. Accordingly a remuneration of Rs.250/- each was sanctioned by the Corporation in their resolution No 1 urgent additional subject dated 16th April 1952 and the same was sent to Government for approval.

Subsequently the number of applications was increased and it came up to 13,051. The work was more than double and the period for disposal had to be increased to 5 months. In view of the increased work the Corporation in their resolution No 17 dated 28th July 1952 enhanced the period for disposal to five months and also the remuneration to Rs 500/- in proportion to the increased work. The resolution of the Corporation was sent to Government for approval as the powers of the Corporation under Section 90 of the Corporation Act was not then decided by the Government but was under correspondence. In all such cases of granting allowances, the Corporation has got power to sanction under Section 90 of the Act and the same has recently been decided while approving the Schedule of Establishment of the Corporation.

P T O

The letter received from Government No 15988-C M 70-52-2 dated 18th December 1952 was placed before the Corporation for their consideration. They have reiterated their original resolution dated 28th July 1952 to accord sanction for the enhanced allowance of Rs 500/- under Section 90 of the Corporation Act.

In this connection I write to state that the appointment of these four officers has resulted in a good deal of savings ~~to~~ to the Corporation, and also the work has been expeditiously done and the collection have been effected on the revised assessment fixed by the Special Officers and Appeal Officers. The appeal Officers have worked strenuously for five months, out of Office hours both in the morning and evening times and also during holidays Sundays and Saturdays - and disposed of all the 13,051 appeals within five months enabling the Corporation to proceed with the collection work.

After the powers of the Corporation regarding sanction of such allowance finally decided by the Government, the Auditor of the Corporation was requested to admit the bills for the enhanced allowance of these officers. He was pleased to state that in view of the Government's letter dated 18th December 1952 that a direction from the Government is necessary for passing the bills. Except in the case of Revenue Officer, whose appointment comes under Section 84 of the Corporation Act, the Corporation is competent to sanction the allowance under Section 90 of the Corporation Act to the other three officers. So, I request that the Auditor may be requested to pass the bills for the enhanced allowance of Rs 500/- in the three cases viz, 1 Sri N Siddalingappa, M A, LL B, - Personal Assistant to the Commissioner (2) Sri M Gurusiddiah, B A (Hons) LL B Council Secretary and (3) Sri S Balasubramanyam, M A, B T, Assistant Revenue Officer.

Sanction of Government is necessary for the payment of enhanced allowance to the Revenue Officer under Section 84(2) of the Corporation Act. Sanction may therefore be kindly accorded for payment of this allowance to the Revenue Officer Sri C Annadanappa who has done similar work as the three other Officers.

Forwarded with compliments,

B *[Signature]*
(P) HANUMANTHIAH
MAYOR

vkr 4/11.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
(K HENGERRAPPA)
COMMISSIONER.

9/11/11

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
SECRETARIAT

~~(Continuation sheet draft form)~~

3

No L. 10720/24-XI-53

To

The Commissioner, C.C.A.
(Through the Mayor)

Subject: Remuneration
of the appeal officers


Sir

With reference to
your letter no B.12-517
dated 4.11.53 on
the subject, I am directed
to request you to
forward with a copy
of the Resolution of the
Corporation relating to its
previous Resolution dated
28-7-1952 to about
Sanction for the enhancement

if

allowance of Rs 500/- each

Your's faithfully



P. S. S. Sanyal
Secy
18/11/53

22/11

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 195

Date of issue

195

Signed by

May this be—

- (i) printed ?
- (ii) treated as a standing order ?
- (iii) published in the Gazette English Part ?
- (iv) translated ?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the Press ?
- (vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

x

- 1) Sh N Sridalingappa, H A, H B
P A to the Commr.
- 2) " C Annadanappa, B A H B
Rev. Officer.
- 3) " M Ganeshaiah B A (Hons) H B
Commr. Secretary
- 4) " S Balasubramanyam, H A, H B
Asst Rev Officer.

5 Copies

Section C - 00

Serial No 83

File No 1-83

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore


Read—


Go no. L. 4895-G/C 1. 1. 1952
52-4 dated 17. 7. 1952
Sanctioning the payment of
a Commr. Remuneration of
Order thereon No
Rs 250 to each of four marginally
noted officers of the Corporation
for the additional duties
performed by them of
hearing appeals in connection
with the last General
Revision of property tax.
2) Correspondence
ending with letter no.

4

allowance of Rs 500/- each

Yours faithfully


J. S. S. Sanyal
for 18/4/53



N. Siddalingappa MA LLB
TREASURY OFFICER
AND
PERSONAL ASSISTANT TO THE COMMISSIONER

CORPORATION OFFICES
BANGALORE

Dated 27-11- 1953

D O.No B.12-517/52-53.

Dear Sir,

C.M 1-53-82



In continuation of this office letter of even number dated 10th November 1953 regarding the remuneration to the Appeal Officers, I am enclosing herewith the resolution of the Corporation passed at their meeting held on 25th March 1953, reiterating the previous resolution of the Corporation dated 28th July 1952 for the enhancement of the remuneration from Rs.250/- to Rs.500/- for ~~your~~ reference.

Yours sincerely,

N. Siddalingappa

To

Sri V Hanumanthappa, B A (Hons),
Asst Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Municipal Branch,
B a n g a l o r e.

S. HAS


[Signature]
27/11

[Signature]
27/11/53

allowance of Rs 500/- each

Yours faithfully


J. S. S. Sorey,
18/11/53


20/11

6

RESOLUTION PASSED AT THE ORDINARY MEETING OF THE CORPORATION OF
THE CITY OF BANGALORE HELD ON 25TH MARCH 1953.

34. Letter No L.15988-C.M-78-52-2, dated 18th December 1952, from the Secretary to Government, Local Self-Government Department, stating that the recommendation of the Corporation to enhance the lump-sum remuneration to the Appeal Officers from Rs.250/- to Rs.500/- is not acceptable to Government and the Commissioner's note recommending to grant the enhancement as above for the reasons stated in the note

Sri A Chengalroya Naidu moved the following resolution -

"Resolved that the previous resolution of the Corporation dated the 28th July 1952, that the remuneration of the four Special Appeal Officers be increased from Rs 250/- to Rs 500/- each for the entire work of disposing of the appeals against the general revision of assessments, be reiterated"

Sri C Rajagopalachar seconded the resolution and it was passed

(sd) P Hanumanthaiah,
Mayor

" C O P Y "

N S ...
FOR COMMISSIONER,
CORPORATION OF BANGALORE.

SVR/2.

27/11

27/11/53

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 195

Date of issue

195

Signed by

May this be—

- (i) printed ?
- (ii) treated as a standing order ?
- (iii) published in the Gazette English Part ?
- (iv) translated ?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the Press
- (vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

- 1) S. N. Sridalingappa, H.A., H.B.
P.A. to the Commr.
- 2) C. Annadanappa, B.A. H.B.
Rev. Officer.
- 3) M. Ganeshaiah B.A. (Hons) H.B.
Commr. Secretary
- 4) S. Balasubramanyam, H.A., H.B.
Asst. Rev. Officer.

5 Copies

Section C - 3

Serial No 83

File No 1-53

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

G.O. No. L. 4895-G/C II 2
52-4 dated 17. 7. 1952
Directing the payment of
a Commission Remuneration of
Order thereon. No
Rs 250 to each of four marginally
noted officers of the Corporation
for the additional duties
performed by them of
hearing appeals in Commission
under the last General
Remuneration of property tax.
2) Correspondence
ending with letter no.

9

B. 12-517/52-53 D/27 11.53
 from the Comptroller, C.C.B.
 Requesting sanction, in the
 circumstances stated herein
 to the resolution dated 25³/53
 of the Corporation recommending
 the payment of enhanced
 remuneration of Rs 500/- each
 to the above four officers in lieu
 of Rs 250/- each already
 sanctioned.

Order No. L 12820+22
 4-1-54
 The above recommendation

List of Enclosures

of the Corporation is sanctioned.

for by J. S. S. S. S.
 24/12/53

- To
- 1) The Comptroller, C.C.B.
 (through the Mayor)
 - 2) " Controller, S.A.S.
 - 3) " Auditor C.C.B.

Copied by

Examined by

31/12

9

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

READ:-

Government Order No.L.4295-6/C.M.2-52-4 dated 17th July 1952
sanctioning the payment of a lumpsum remuneration of Rs.250/- to each


1. Sri N.Siddalingappa, M.A., LL.B., Personal Assistant to the Commissioner. 2. " C.Annadanappa, B.A., LL.B., Revenue Officer. 3. " L.Gurusiddiah, B.A.(Hons) LL B., Council Secretary. 4. " S Balasubramanyam, M.A., L.T., Asst. Revenue Officer	of four marginally noted officers of the Corporation for the additional duties performed by them of of hearing appeals in connection with the last general revision of property tax.
---	---

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.B.12-517/52-53 dated 27th November 1953 from the Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bangalore, requesting sanction, in the circumstances stated therein, to the resolution dated 25th March 1953 of the Corporation recommending the payment of enhanced remuneration of Rs.500/- each to the above four officers in lieu of Rs.250/- each already sanctioned.

- - - -
ORDER NO.L. 12820-22 /CM 1-53-83

Dated, Bangalore, the 4-1-53

The above recommendation of the Corporation is sanctioned.


(V. Hanumanthappa)
For Secretary to Government,
L.S.G. & Planning Departments.

To

The Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bangalore thro' the
Mayor
* Controller, State Accounts Department, Bangalore,
* Auditor, Corporation of the City of Bangalore.

knr-5/31.12

